No.



8300018

# <u>HHIR UNITHERD SHAYIES OF AMIERIGA</u>

TO ME TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHAME COME:
Texas Agricultural Experiment Station

Taltereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic seed of the variety in a public repository as provided by LAW, the right to exclude others from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, importing it, or exporting it, or using it in producing a hybrid or different try therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act. United States seed of this variety (1) shall be sold by variety name only as of certified seed and (2) shall conform to the number of generations the owner of the rights. (84 stat. 1542, as amended, 7 u.s.c. 2321 et seq.)

#### KLEINGRASS

'Verde'

In Lestimony Minercot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Taxiety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington this 27th day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and eighty-three.

Allosk

Kenneth Ha Commissioner

Oceanissioner Plant Variety Protection Office Grain Division

Agricultural Marketing Service

Secretary of Agriculture

#### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FORM APPROVED AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK, POULTRY, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION OMB NO. 40-R3822 No certificate for plant variety protection may APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATE be issued unless a completed application form INSTRUCTIONS: See Reverse. has been received (5 U.S.C. 553). TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OF VARIETY 1b. VARIETY NAME FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY V NUMBER 830001877 - 28Verde KIND NAME 3. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME FILING DATE A.M. 12/6/82 11:30 X<del>I</del>XIX. Kleingrass FEE RECEIVED Panicum coloratum L. DATE FAMILY NAME (BOTANICAL) 5. DATE OF DETERMINATION 1,000 12/6/82 1978 fol. eval. of poly prdg 10/7/83 Gramineae 1981 fol, agronomic eval. NAME OF APPLICANT(S) ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP **TELEPHONE AREA** Code) CODE AND NUMBER Texas A&M University Texas Agricultural Experi-College Station, Texas 77843 713-845-4051 IF THE NAMED APPLICANT IS NOT A PERSON, FORM OF 10. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE AND 11. DATE OF INCOR-ORGANIZATION: (Corporation, partnership, association, etc.) DATE OF INCORPORATION **PORATION** State Agricultural Experiment Station NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION AND RECEIVE **ALL PAPERS:** Mr. Harvey Walker, Foundation Seed Section Leader, Texas Agricultural Experiment Station, College Station, Texas CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED: [X] 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety (See Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Act.) 13B. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement. 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Description of the Variety (Request form from Plant Variety Protection Office.) X 13D. Exhibit D, Additional Description of the Variety. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED SEED? (See Section 83(a). (If "Yes," answer 14B and 14C below.) DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE 14c. IF "YES," TO 14B, HOW MANY GENERATIONS OF PRODUC-TION BEYOND BREEDER SEED? LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS? X FOUNDATION REGISTERED X CERTIFIED DID THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THIS VARIETY IN OTHER COUNTRIES? 15a. NO (If "Yes," give YES name of countries and dates.) 15b. HAVE RIGHTS BEEN GRANTED THIS VARIETY IN OTHER COUNTRIES? YES NO (If "Yes," give name of countries and dates.) 16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) AGREE TO THE PUBLICATION OF HIS/HER (THEIR) NAME(S) AND ADDRESS IN THE OFFICIAL JOURNAL? X YES The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic seed of this variety will be furnished with the application and will be replenished upon request in accordance with such regulations as may be applicable. The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this sexually reproduced novel plant variety, and believe(s) that the variety is distinct, uniform, and stable as required in Section 41, and is entitled to protection under the provisions of Section 42 of the Plant Variety Act. Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation herein can jeopardize protection and result in penalties.

(DATE)

#### VERDE KLEINGRASS

Exhibit 13A. Origin and Breeding History of Variety

13a. (1) Geneology and breeding history.

Open pollinated seed were collected in 1972 from approximately 4,000 individual plants originating from 30 plant introductions from Africa. The seed were separated in an air column calibrated to remove all but the heaviest seed.

(2) Subsequent collection and multiplication.

Approximately 70 plants were identified based on percentage of heavy seed and individual seed weight. A parent - o.p. progeny nursery was established in 1973 and evaluated for seed weight in three separate seed harvests. In 1974 the top 11 parent clones were established in an isolated polycross block and the top 15 o.p. progeny were established in a second polycross block. A replicated parentpolycross progeny nursery was established in 1975 and evaluated for seed weight in three seed harvests using Kleingrass 75 as a check. Because of the similarity in performance of the two crosses (18.5 to 25.5% increase in seed weight over Kleingrass 75), the parents of both crosses were combined in a new polycross in 1977 after eliminating six parent clones based on progeny performance. Performance of the combined cross was about the same as the original crosses (19% increase in seed weight over Kleingrass 75). Breeders seed was produced in an isolated block established in rows from transplanting 100 seedlings from each of the 20 parent clones, parental sources being completely randomized within each row. Foundation seed is produced from the breeders seed. Certified seed

is produced only from foundation seed; there is no registered seed class.

#### (3) Variants

Seed weight is quantitatively inherited and there are no actual variants in seed weight. Selection was for seed weight (size) based on mean weights of seeds collected from individual plants at similar stages of maturity followed by intercrossing of selected plants. Seed weight is influenced genetically, environmentally, and by stage of maturity. Seed mature from the inflorescence tip downward and inflorescences develop and mature over an extended period of time. Thus, seed in all stages of maturity are harvested at any one time. Verde individual seed weight ranges from about 0.5 to 1.5 mg/seed while Kleingrass 75 ranges from 0.5 to 1.3 with means of 0.85 to 1.0 and 0.7 to 0.85 mg/seed, respectively. Seed weight distribution of Verde follows a normal distribution curve for multiple gene inheritance (Table 1). Kleingrass 75 seed size is skewed toward smaller seed. The increased seed size in Verde represents a shift in the population toward larger seed. The extremes in the distribution pattern do not represent variants but rather the expected pattern for a multigenic characteristic.

Rieschnick, R. C. 197. Seed size relationships in Kleingrass,

Panicum coloratum L. M.S. Thesis, Texas A&M University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Hussey, M. A. 1979. Selection for increased seed weight in <u>Panicum coloratum</u> L. and its relationship to early seedling performance. M.S. Thesis. Texas A&M University.

## (4) Uniformity and stability

Predicted heritability based on parent - o.p. progeny correlation and regression was 68% but realized heritability in the first cycle of selection for seed weight was 71%2. The data including range among progeny plants are shown in Table 2. Further progress has been made in selection for increased seed weight 3 but the experimental materials have not been adequately tested for agronomic performance. It is expected that seed weight of a synthetic would decline to some extent in advanced generations which is the reason for limiting the seed increase to two generations from breeders seed. Breeders seed is the same generation as polycross progeny of the parental clones. The breeders seed increase block was established as spaced plants using equal numbers of polycross progeny seedlings from each parent clone located at random within subblocks consisting of one polycross progeny from each of 20 parent clones. The subblocks were repeated approximately 100 times. Thus there was no generation advance from the polycross progeny test to the breeders seed increase. The first generation increase from the polycross (random interpollination of selected parent clones) is the foundation seed. Even though foundation seed were produced in a different year and environment, when the seed were subjected to the same cleaning treatment, seed weights averaged 3% less than breeders seed which is in the expected range of decrease for the Syn<sub>1</sub>, generation, Table The characteristic is expected to stabilize at that level (slightly less than breeders seed) for self-sterile or largely cross

pollinated species if interpollination is random among genotypes. There will be only one generation advance above the  $\mathrm{Syn}_1$ .

Seedling vigor is closely related to seed weight and its stability is dependent on seed weight stability. Since the evidence points to seed weight stability in advanced generations, seedling vigor also is assumed to be stable.

While plant pubescence is somewhat less in Verde than Kleingrass 75, the characteristics is not considered important. It was measured in the polycross progeny generation and should show the same stability as seed weight.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Hussey, M. A. and E. C. Holt. 1982. Selection and evaluation of heavy seed weight synthetic cultivars of kleingrass. <u>In</u> Forage Research in Texas. Texas Agric. Exp. Stn. CPR - 4024. p. 59-66.

## Exhibit 13B. Novelty Statement

The only commercially available <u>Panicum coloratum</u> L. in the United States is the cultivar Kleingrass 75. All comparisons will be with that cultivar. Kleingrass 75 is an increase of a P.I. and is morphologically variable. Thus, comparisons are on the basis of means and sometimes ranges.

Increased seed size and seedling vigor are the only important novelty characteristics of Verde kleingrass. The caryopsis in <a href="Panicum coloratum">Panicum coloratum</a> is tightly enclosed in the lemma and palea, thus this seed unit will be referred to as the seed. The spikelet consists of glumes, a sterile floret and the fertile floret. However, the fertile floret at maturity always disarticulates above the sterile lemma and palea.

Verde kleingrass, compared to Kleingrass 75, produces larger and heavier seed (Table 3). The seed are about 10% longer (2.34+.04 mm vs. 2.12+.04 mm) and 10% wider (1.11+.10 vs. 0.98+.04).

Verde seed are 20% to 30% heavier than Kleingrass 75. Within seed lots treated comparably, individual seed weights were 1.04+.04 mg vs. 0.82+.03 mg. Seed weight will vary to some extent dependent on environmental conditions during maturation and the degree of removal of immature seed in the cleaning process. But the percentage increase has been consistently 20% or more. Flag leaf length and width do not differ between the two cultivars (Table 3).

Seedling weight (above ground) is an indication of seedling vigor. Seedling vigor is important in stand establishment.

Table 1. Frequency distribution (percentage) of seed size classes.

Cultivar			Size cl	ass (mg/100	seed)	
	<60	60-79	80-99	100-119	120-140	>140
			% of tota	l in each c	lass	
Verde	1	9	37	38	10	5
Kleingrass	1	51	35	11	2	

Table 2. Summary of results of first cycle of selection for heavy seed weight in kleingrass.

	Cycle O	Cycle 1
Mean	79.3 <sup>1</sup>	100.8
Range	25.0-131.6	63.7-144.1
Std. dev.	13.7	11.0
Klein. 75	_	83.2
% Increase	_	27.1
Parent-progeny		
correlation	0.61	0.78

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{All}$  seed weights are expressed as mg/100 seed.

Table 2a. Seed weight stability in advanced generations of Verde kleingrass.

	Year of	Seed wt.
Generation S	Seed production	mg/seed
Parent clones	1978	0.98
Polycross progeny	1978	.98
Breeders seed (polycross	3	
progeny)	1980	1.08
	1981	1.09
of the second	1982	1.07
Foundation Seed (synthet generation	ic 1982	1.05
Certified seed	?	?

Increased seedling vigor of Verde over Kleingrass 75 has been shown in both controlled environment and field studies (Tables 4 and 5).

Tubercle-based hairs are found on leaf margins, especially near the collar, of Panicum coloratum leaves, and leaf blade and sheath may have similar pubescence or hairs. A higher percentage of Kleingrass 75 than Verde leaves possess surface pubescence (Table 6). Surface hairs may be present on either or both the upper and lower leaf blade surface and/or the leaf sheath. About 25% of Verde plants show leaf surface pubescence while some 65% of Kleingrass 75 plants show pubescence. However, leaf hairs is not considered an important or distinguishing characteristic.

Table 3. Kleingrass seed and vegetative characteristics.

	Ver	Verde		Kleingrass 75	
Characteristic	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	
Seed length (mm)	2.1-2.5	2.34+.04	1.8-2.4	2.12+.04	
Seed width (mm)	0.8-1.7	1.11 + .04	0.7-1.25	0.98 + .04	
Spikelet length (mm)	3.0-3.7	3.23 + .10	2.5-3.7	3.15 + .12	
Veight/seed (mg)	0.51-1.54	1.04 - 04	0.52-1.27	0.82 + .03	
Flag leaf width (mm)	2-9	5.8+ <u>.</u> 61	3-10	5.7+.23	
Flag leaf length (cm)	8-22	$13.\overline{9}+.44$	7-27	$13.\overline{8}+.55$	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Confidence interval at 95% probability level.

Table 4. Kleingrass seedling emergence and vigor. 1

Cultivar	Emergence	Seedling vigor	
	%	mg/100 seedlings <sup>2</sup>	
Verde	20.8 ь	104 a	
Kleingrass 75	14.6 cde	62 bc	
Experimental 78-30	30.5 a	72 b	
Experimental 78-35	28.3 a	106 а	
Experimental 79-34	18.3 bc	60 bc	
Experimental 75-25	17.7 bcd	52 d	

Values followed by a common letter are not significantly different (0.05 level), Duncan's Multiple Range.

<sup>1</sup> Study conducted in controlled environment box at 24 C, 14/10 light/dark photoperiod, 1,000  $\mu$ em 2 Sec 2.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ 30 days post emergence, average of three planting depths, (2.5, 5, 7.5 cm).

Table 5. Field emergence, seedling weight and stand evaluation of kleingrass cultivars.

Cultivar	Seed wt. mg/100 seed	Plants/ $\mathfrak{m}^1$	Seedling wt.mg/seedling	Establishment rating
Verde	90.9	43 a <sup>3</sup>	13.5 ab	7.8 a
Kleingrass 75	70.1	32 a	11.3 ab	4.8 b
Experimental 79-35	107.8	43 a	13.7 a	7.0 a
Experimental 77-30	100.9	42 a	13.5 ab	7.5 a
Experimental 75-25	66.1	28 a	8.9 ab	4.0 b
Experimental 79-34	61.6	24 a	10.1 b	4.3 b

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{Plants}$  per linear meter of 50 cm wide rows from 73 viable seed.

Table 6. Kleingrass leaf pubescence.

		Percentage	of tillers wi	th pubesce	ence
				Leaf blade	
Cultivar	None	Sheath only	Upper surface	Lower surface	Both surfaces
Verde	77	1	16	2	4
Kleingrass 75	34	7	22	11	26

 $<sup>^{2}\</sup>mathrm{Rating}$  including both number and size of seedlings with 10=maximum.

 $<sup>^3\</sup>mathrm{Values}$  in a column followed by same letter are not significantly different (0.05 level), Duncan's Multiple Range.

And the second second

FORM GR-470-36 (9-76)

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE GRAIN DIVISION HYATTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20782

TOTAL PARTICE, MINUT		
OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION	IN OF CHITIVAL	20
ODDEDITIE DECOMMEND	NA OF COPILADI	10
RYEGRA	ASS KLETNORA	SS

	NGRASS
	icum coloratum)   VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY DESIGNATION
Texas Agricultural Experiment Station	Verde
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Texas A&M University	PVPO NUMBER
College Station, Texas 77843	8300018
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character of this variety in the boxes belonumber if either 99 or less or 9 or less. Descriptions of characters should represent those that are lata should be for SPACED PLANTS. Give additional description for all characteristics that cannot be trinent comparative trial and evaluation data.	tunical for the variety. Danger may be given also Managed
1. SPECIES:  1 = L. MULTIFLORUM (annual or Italian: includes Westerwoldicum) 2 = L. PERENI 4 = HYBRID (of species) 5 = OTHER (St	NE (perennial) 3 = L. RIGIDUM (includes Wimmera)  pecify) Panicum coloratum
2. PLOIDY:	sectly) Tailleam Cololacum
2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	en un reken i tempo este incluenta de la como esta productiva de la comprehensión de la comprehensión de la com A la comprehensión de la compre
2 TETRAPLOID 3 = OTHER (S)	p <mark>ecify) (1600 companie Companie dose</mark> lo companie 18 lugio 2000 de primario, esperio do primario en espera en espera en espera en espera en espera en espera en e
3. DURATION:	
3 1 = ANNUAL OR BIENNIAL 2 = SHORT LIVED PERENNIAL (3-4 years)	3 = PERENNIAL (more than 4 years)
	3 - PERENNIAL (more than 4 years)
STANDARD CULTIVARS 1 = GULF 2 = WIMMERA 62 3 = LINN	9=Kleingrass 7
5 = NORLEA 6 = ABERYSTWYTH S-23 7 = MANHATT	AN 8 = PENNFINE
4. MATURITY (50% HEADED) Use standards from above for comparison:	
5 1 = VERY EARLY 3 = EARLY DAYS EARLIER THAN .	STANDARD CULTIVAR
9 = VERY LATE DAYS LATER THAN	
9 = VERY LATE 0 7 DAYS LATER THAN	9 STANDARD CULTIVAR
5. MATURE PLANT HEIGHT (Use standard cultivars from above):	17/23/9/29/
The state of the s	<del></del>
1 0 6 CM. HIGH to 122 0 0 0 CM. SHORTER THAN	STANDARD CULTIVAR
0 0 0 CM. TALLER THAN 9 STANDARD CULTIVAR	
6. PERCENT WINTER DAMAGE (estimated as percent of the area appearing dead). Use s	standard cultivars from above for comparison:
0 1 2 PERCENT DAMAGE OF APPLICATION CULTIVAR	ZUBZKU TORKAWA GRAW WASAN
AC ANTHAS ATTA EAST ANTHAS TO WITH THE SAME	College Station, Texas
0 1 0 PERCENT DAMAGE OF 9 STANDARD CULTIVAR	College Station, lexas
・ 「	ECONOMIC CONTRACTOR
7. TURF DENSITY Use standard cultivars from above: Not applicable	
TILLERS PER 100 SO CM	
<del>                                      </del>	ryddholedh dei filigan y euw y y yr ye yneig Aje f
LESS TILLERS PER 100 SQ. CM. THAN STANDARD CULTIV	'AR
The second confidence of the second control	
MORE TILLERS PER 100 SQ. CM. THAN STANDARD CULTIV	'AR
B. FLAG LEAF (at full growth) Use standard cultivars from above:	
The state of the control of the cont	TO REDAY AT DESCRIPTION OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTR
	1 ≈ DEFLEXED 1 ≈ DEFLEXED 1 ≈ RECURVED
0 0 0 CM. SHORTER THAN	BOOT STAGE: 5 = HORIZONTAL 7 = SEMI-ERECT
0 0 0 CM. LONGER THAN	en de la companya de La companya de la co
0 0 0 MM. NABROWER THAN 9 STANDARD CULTIV	AR A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
0 0 0 MM. WIDER THAN	
0 10 10 1	83000 <b>1</b> 8

LOOK LEEDARD ONE NO WHILE

0 8 2

COMMENTS:

O11111 G11 470 00 10 70	•	
, <b>8</b> = 1	HIGHLY RESISTANT):	BLE, 4 = MODERATELY SUSCEPTIBLE, 6 = MODERATELY RESISTANT,
Not appli	Cable Puccinia coronata) DC	DLLAR SPOT (Sclerotinia) BROWN PATCH (Rhizoctonia)
LEAF SPOT (He	elminthosporium) MI	LDEW OTHER (Specify)
SNOW MOLD (	ryphula) RE	ED THREAD (Corticium)
	OT TESTED, 2 = HIGHLY SUSCEPTIBLIGHLY RESISTANT):	LE, 4 = MODERATELY SUSCEPTIBLE, 6 = MODERATELY RESISTANT,
O (Specify)		<del>-</del>
COMPARISON IS		VARIETY CODE NUMBER IN RIGHT COLUMN FOR VARIETY WITH WHICH AS, 3 = MORE ERECT, MORE RESISTANT, DENSER, MORE PERSISTENT,
RESEMBLANCE	CHARACTER	SIMILAR VARIETY
2	PLANT HABIT (erectness)	1 = GULF
2	TILLERING	2 = WIMMERA 62
1	WINTER HARDINESS	3 = LINN
2	HIGH TEMP. STRESS RESISTANCE	4 = PELO
-	TURF PERSISTENCE	5 = NORLEA
2	PLANT COLOR	6 = ABERYSTWYTH S-23
3	VERTICAL SEEDLING GROWTH RA	TE 7 = MANHATTAN
	CROWN DENSITY	8 = PENNFINE
	MOWER SHREDDING RESISTANCE	x 9= Kleingrass
18. GIVE AREA OF	ADAPTATION AND INTENDED USE:	Generally south of 32nd parallel in Texas
19. GIVE AREA TES	T RESULTS PRESENTED FROM:	Texas

Section 12 to the second

#### Exhibit 13D. Additional Description of Variety

Panicum coloratum is a polymorphic species with a wide range in morphological characteristics. Plants vary in leaf width, plant height, plant color, plant pubescence, inflorescence color, and growth habit. Blue to blue-green glaucous plants generally have wide leaves compared to medium dark green plants which have narrower leaves. The glaucous characteristic varies from completely glaucous to slightly glaucous to none. Most plants develop a purple coloration at the nodes with very dark green plants and plants under low temperature stress having more purple coloration. Some plants develop purple inflorescences (purple glumes and sterile lemma) while light green is the predominant color. Geniculate stems are common in the species though erect plants have less of this tendency. Plants vary widely in pubescence from completely glabrous to highly pubescent. Blue and blue-green plants are always glabrous.

Kleingrass 75 was not selected for any of these plant characteristics. Thus, plant to plant variation occurs and the cultivar cannot be classified specifically for these morphological traits. Verde plant populations have few mildly blue-green slightly glaucous plants, but the population is predominantly medium green in color, approximately 20 to 25% of the plants with some leaf blade surface pubescence, mostly erect growth habit (as contrasted to either geniculate or decumbent). Except in percentage of plants with pubescence, Verde does not differ perceptibly in morphological characteristics to Kleingrass 75.

Agronomically, Verde equals or exceeds Kleingrass 75. In two field studies, Verde produced dry matter yields not significantly different to Kleingrass 75, while in one field study it exceeded Kleingrass 75 slightly and significantly (Tables 7, 8, 9). Verde forage quality (in vitro dry matter digestibility) is not significantly different to Kleingrass 75 but has consistently been 1 to 3 digestibility units higher than Kleingrass 75 (Tables 8, 10).

The acceptability of kleingrass seed as a source of feed for game birds has been confirmed repeatedly by the observations by producers that game birds, especially quail, increase in number following the establishment of kleingrass stands. Acceptability of the seed was confirmed further by a controlled study using caged quail given free choice of standard game bird seed mixture and kleingrass seed. The kleingrass seed made up almost 30% of the feed intake in this study and bird weights were not significantly different to those on a 100% game bird seed diet. It is assumed that Kleingrass seed are near minimal in size for acceptability in nature and that an increase in size would enhance the game bird value of the cultivar. This has not been verified by research and probably will not be but it seems a reasonable assumption.

Table 7. Dry matter yield of kleingrass cultivars at College Station, Texas, 1978-1980.

Cultivar		Kg/ha/cutting <sup>1</sup>
Verde		4088
Kleingrass	75	4396

Average of total of 8 cuttings over a 3-year period, values not significantly different.

5-7

Table 8. Dry matter yield and digestibility of kleingrass cultivars cut at two heights, College Station, Texas, 1978.

	Agronomic treatment			
Cultivar	10 cm height	20 cm height	Average	
		Kg/ha		
Verde Kleingrass 75	9978 a <sup>1</sup> 8282 b	9391 b 9849 a	9684 a 9066 b	
		IVDMD		
Verde Kleingrass 75	60 <u>+</u> 1.4 <sup>2</sup> 58 <u>+</u> 1.5	59 <u>+</u> 1.6 57 <u>+</u> 1.5	59.5 <u>+</u> 1.01 57.5 <u>+</u> 1.01	

 $<sup>^{</sup>m l}$  Values in column followed by same letter are not significantly different (.05 level), Duncan's Multiple Range.

Table 9. Dry matter production of kleingrass cultivars harvested at two intervals, College Station, 1980-81.

Cultivars	Kg/ha <sup>1</sup>					
	1980 Single harvest	3-week interval	1981 6-week interval	1981 average		
Verde	2460	7305	9730	8518		
Kleingrass 75	2182	6984	8884	7934		

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Values for cultivars not significantly different, 5% level.

 $<sup>^295\%</sup>$  confidence interval.

Table 10. In vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD), College Station, Texas.

C1+:		1070	% r	VDMD	100		
Cultivar	1978				1981		
	Aug. 16	Sep. 29	Avg.	Jun. 12	Aug. 4	Sep. 16	Avg.
Verde	62	53	58 NS	57	61	62	60 NS
Kleingrass 75	61	49	55 NS	54	59	64	59 NS

Table 11. Kleingrass seed consumption and bird performance of caged quail.

Feed offered	Amount of feed consumed g/bird/day	% kleingrass in consumed feed	Final bird weight, g/bird <sup>1</sup>
Standard diet	17.3	-	208
Standard diet + kleingrass	18.1	28.6	203

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{l}}$  Values not significantly different.